

## Math 2102: Homework 2

Due on: Feb. 26, 2024 at 11:59 pm.

All assignments must be submitted via Moodle. Precise and adequate explanations should be given to each problem. Exercises marked with Extra might be more challenging or a digression, so they won't be graded.

1. Let  $U \subseteq V$  be a subspace and denote by  $\pi : V \rightarrow V/U$  the quotient map. Let  $\pi^* \in \mathcal{L}((V/U)^*, V^*)$  denote the associated dual linear map.

- (i) Show that  $\pi^*$  is injective.

**Solution.** Let  $\lambda \in (V/U)^*$  we have  $\pi^*(\lambda) = \lambda \circ \pi \in V^*$ . Assume that  $\pi^*(\lambda) = 0$ , that is for every  $v \in V$  we have  $\lambda \circ \pi(v) = 0$ . Suppose that  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then there exists  $x \in V/U$  such that  $\lambda(x) \neq 0$ . Notice  $\pi : V \rightarrow V/U$  is surjective, i.e.  $x = \tilde{x} + U$  for some  $\tilde{x} \in V$  by definition; thus  $\pi(\tilde{x}) = x$ . This gives that  $\pi^*(\lambda)(\tilde{x}) = \lambda(\pi(\tilde{x})) = \lambda(x) \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $\lambda = 0$ , i.e.  $\ker \pi^* = 0$ , which by Lecture Notes Lemma 11, implies that  $\pi^*$  is injective.

Notice that in the case where  $V$  is finite-dimensional we proved in Lecture Notes Lemma 25 that  $\pi^*$  is injective if and only if  $\pi$  is surjective, which was proved in the paragraph above. So in this case the proof is simpler.

- (ii) Show that  $\text{range } \pi^* = U^0$ .

**Solution.** Let  $\lambda \in \text{range } \pi^*$  then  $\lambda = \pi^*(\mu)$  for some  $\mu \in (V/U)^*$ . Notice that if  $u \in U$  then  $\pi(u) = 0$  (here this means  $0_{V/U}$ ), since  $\mu$  is linear we have  $\lambda(u) = \pi^*(\mu)(u) = \mu(\pi(u)) = 0$  for every  $u \in U$ , thus  $\text{range } \lambda \subseteq U^0$ .

Now assume that  $\lambda \in U^0$ , thus we have  $\lambda : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  such that the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $U$  vanishes. By Lemma 20, there exists a unique linear map  $\sigma : V/U \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ , i.e.  $\sigma \in (V/U)^*$ , such that  $\lambda = \sigma \circ \pi = \pi^*(\sigma)$ . Thus,  $U^0 \subseteq (V/U)^*$ .

- (iii) Conclude that  $\pi^*$  is an isomorphism between  $(V/U)^*$  and  $U^0$ .

**Solution.** By Corollary 8 (iv), we have that  $\pi^* : (V/U)^* \rightarrow V^*$  induces:

$$\bar{\pi}^* : (V/U)^* / \text{null } \pi^* \rightarrow V^*$$

with  $(V/U)^* / \text{null } \pi^* \simeq \text{range } \bar{\pi}^*$ . By (i) we have  $\text{null } \pi^* = 0$ , which implies that  $\bar{\pi}^* = \pi^*$ , by (ii) we have that  $\text{range } \pi^* = U^0$ . Thus, we conclude that  $(V/U)^* \simeq U^0$ .

2. Consider  $V = \mathbb{C}((x))$  the set of Laurent series, i.e.  $a \in \mathbb{C}((x))$  is given by a series  $a(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i x^i$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , and such that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a_n = 0$  for all  $n < N$ .

- (i) Check that  $V$  is a vector space. Is the set  $\{x^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  a basis of  $V$ ?

**Solution.** Notice that as a set one has a bijection  $\varphi : \mathbb{C}((x)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  given by

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i x^i\right) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varphi\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i x^i\right)(n) = a_n.$$

The addition and scalar multiplication on  $\mathbb{C}((x))$  are defined exactly as the addition and scalar multiplication on  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . This shows that  $\mathbb{C}((x))$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The set  $\{x^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is not a basis, since for example the element  $\sum_{i \geq 0} x^i \in \mathbb{C}((x))$  can not be written as a finite linear combination of the vectors in  $\{x^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

(ii) Given any  $g \in V$  consider the map  $L_g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$L_g(f) = \text{Res}(gf) := \text{coefficient of } x^{-1} \text{ in } g(x)f(x),$$

Prove that  $L_g$  is a well-defined linear map.

**Solution.** Let  $g = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i x^i$  and consider  $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i x^i$  in  $V$ . We have:

$$gf = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{i-j} a_j \right) x^i,$$

where we notice that the sum  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (j+1)c_{i-j}a_j$  is finite since for  $j \ll 0$  we have  $a_j = 0$  and for  $j \gg 0$  we have that  $c_{i-j} = 0$ . Thus the expression  $gf$  is well-defined and

$$L_g(f) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{i-j} a_j.$$

Consider  $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i$  and  $h = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} b_i$  in  $V$  and  $d \in \mathbb{C}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} L_g(f + dh) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{i-j} (a_j + db_{j+1}) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{i-j} a_j + d \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{i-j} b_j \\ &= L_g(f) + dL_g(h). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Consider  $\varphi : V \rightarrow V^*$  given by  $\varphi(g) := L_g$ . Prove that  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

**Solution.** Consider  $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i$  and  $h = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} b_i$  in  $V$  where  $a_k \neq b_k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . And assume that  $L_f = L_h$ . Then if we consider:

$$L_f(x^{-k}) = L_h(x^{-k}) \Rightarrow a_k = b_k,$$

which is a contradiction. This proves that  $\varphi$  is injective.

Let  $\lambda : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a linear functional, define:

$$c_{-i-1} := \lambda(x^i) \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Notice that for  $i \gg 0$  we have  $c_{-i-1} = 0$ , since  $\lambda(x^i) = 0$  for  $i \gg 0$ , otherwise  $\lambda(\sum_{i \geq 0} x^i) = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_{-i}$  would not be well-defined. Thus, we can define  $g := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i x^i$ . We claim that  $L_g = \lambda$ . Indeed, for any  $x^k \in V$  we have:

$$L_g(x^k) = \text{Res} \left( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i x^{i+k} \right) = c_{-k-1} = \lambda(x^k).$$

Thus, for an arbitrary  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k x^k$  we have

$$L_g(f) = \lambda(f).$$

This proves that  $\varphi$  is surjective.

(iv) Let  $\mathbb{C}[[x]] \subset \mathbb{C}((x))$  be the subset of Taylor series, i.e.  $a \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$  if  $a = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n$  for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and let  $\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}] \subset \mathbb{C}((x))$  denote the subset of  $a \in \mathbb{C}((x))$  such that  $a = \sum_{n \leq 0} a_n x^n$ , with  $a_m = 0$  for  $m \ll 0$ . Prove that  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}]$  are subspaces.

- (v) Determine the range of  $\varphi$  restricted to  $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}]$ .

**Solution.** Let  $\Psi : V^* \rightarrow V$  be defined by  $\Psi(\lambda) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda(x^{-i-1})x^i$ , which is well-defined by the Solution to (iii). Notice that  $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{Id}_{V^*}$  and  $\text{Id}_V = \psi \circ \varphi$ . Thus,  $\lambda \in \varphi(\mathbb{C}[[x]])$  if  $\psi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ , i.e.

$$\lambda(x^i) = c_{-i-1} = 0 \text{ for } -i-1 \leq 0, i \geq -1,$$

i.e.  $\varphi(\mathbb{C}[[x]]) = (x^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[x]])^0$  where  $x^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[x]] \subset \mathbb{C}((x))$  is the subspace of Laurent series such that  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \leq -2$ . Similarly, we have  $\lambda \in \varphi(\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}])$  if  $\psi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}]$ , i.e.

$$\lambda(x^i) = c_{-i-1} = 0 \text{ for } -i-1 \geq 0, i \leq -1,$$

i.e.  $\varphi(\mathbb{C}[x]) = (x^{-1}\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}])^0$ , where  $x^{-1}\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}] \subset \mathbb{C}((x))$  is the subspace of Laurent series such that  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \geq 0$ .

- (vi) (Extra) Conclude that  $\mathbb{C}[[x]] \simeq \mathbb{C}[x]^*$  and that  $\mathbb{C}[x]^* \simeq \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ .

**Solution.** Notice that (v) gives that  $\mathbb{C}[[x]] \simeq (x^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[x]])^0$ . By Lemma 23 (i) in the Lecture Notes we have:

$$(x^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[x]])^0 = \text{null } \iota^*,$$

where  $\iota : x^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[x]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((x))$  is the canonical inclusion. Now we claim that  $\text{null } \iota^* \simeq \mathbb{C}[x]^*$ . Indeed, consider  $\zeta : \mathbb{C}[x]^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((x))^*$  given by

$$\zeta(\lambda)(x^i) := \begin{cases} \lambda(x^{-i-2}) & \text{if } i \leq -2, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $\zeta(\mathbb{C}[x]^*) = \text{null } \iota^*$ . The argument for  $\mathbb{C}[x]^* \simeq \mathbb{C}[[x]]$  is similar, using the other result in (v).

3. Let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear operator between real vector spaces. We define:

$$T_{\mathbb{C}} : V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad T(u + iv) := T(u) + iT(v).$$

- (i) Prove that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Solution.** For this we need to assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $T$  with eigenvector  $v \in V$ , that  $T(v) = \lambda v$  and  $v \neq 0$ . Consider  $T_{\mathbb{C}}(v + iv) = T(v) + iT(v) = \lambda v + i\lambda v = \lambda(v + iv)$ . So  $v + iv$  is an eigenvector of  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Now consider  $v \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $T_{\mathbb{C}}(v) = \lambda v$ . We write as  $v = v_r + iv_i$  for  $v_r, v_i \in V$ . Then we have:

$$\lambda(v_r + iv_i) = T_{\mathbb{C}}(v_r + iv_i) = T(v_r) + iT(v_i).$$

The real part gives  $\lambda v_r = T(v_r)$  and the imaginary part gives  $\lambda v_i = T(v_i)$ . Since either  $v_r$  or  $v_i$  is non-zero, otherwise  $v$  is zero, we have that either  $v_r$  or  $v_i$  is an eigenvector of  $T$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

- (ii) Prove that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$  if and only if  $\bar{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue of  $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Solution.** Now consider  $v \in V_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $T_{\mathbb{C}}(v) = \lambda v$ . We write as  $v = v_r + iv_i$  for  $v_r, v_i \in V$ . Let  $\lambda = (a + ib)$  then we have:

$$(a + ib)(v_r + iv_i) = (av_r - bv_i) + i(bv_r + av_i) = T(v_r) + iT(v_i).$$

By equating the real and imaginary part we have:  $T(v_r) = (av_r - bv_i)$  and  $T(v_i) = (bv_r + av_i)$ . Now consider  $v' := v_r - iv_i$ , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathbb{C}}(v_r - iv_i) &= T(v_r) - iT(v_i) \\ &= (av_r - bv_i) - i(bv_r + av_i) \\ &= (a - ib)(v_r - iv_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $v_r - iv_i$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\bar{\lambda} = a - ib$ . The other direction has exactly the same proof.

4. Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space and consider  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and  $U \subseteq V$  a subspace invariant under  $T$ . The quotient operator  $T/U \in \mathcal{L}(V/U)$  is defined by:

$$T/U : V/U \rightarrow V/U, \quad T/U(v + U) := T(v) + U.$$

- (i) Check that  $T/U$  is well-defined.

**Solution.** Let  $v + U = v' + U$  in  $V/U$  we need to check that the assignments  $T/U(v + U) := T(v) + U$  and  $T/U(v' + U) = T(v') + U$  produce the same answer. Indeed, let  $u \in U$  such that  $v - v' = u$ , then we have  $T(v) - T(v') = T(v - v') = T(u) \in U$  since  $U$  is invariant under  $T$ . Thus,  $T(v) + U = T(v') + U$ .

One also needs to check that  $T/U$  is linear. Consider  $a \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $u + U, u' + U \in V/U$  then we have:

$$T/U(a(u+U)+u'+U) = T(au+u') + U = aT(u) + T(u') + U = a(T/U(u)+U) + (T/U(u')+U).$$

- (ii) Show that each eigenvalue of  $T/U$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ .

**Solution.** Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $T/U$ , then there exist  $x \in V/U$  such that  $x \neq 0$  and  $T/U(x) = \lambda x$ . Consider  $W \subseteq V$  such that  $W \oplus U = V$ , which exists by Lecture Notes Lemma 5, since  $V$  is finite-dimensional.

There exists a unique  $w \in W$  such that  $w + U = x$ . Indeed, assume that there are  $w, w' \in W$  such that  $w + U = w' + U$ , then  $w - w' \in U$ , since  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ , we get  $w = w'$ . Now we compute:

$$T(w) + U = T/U(w + U) = \lambda(w + U),$$

which implies that  $T(w) - \lambda(w) \in U$ .

Let  $X := \text{Span}(w) \oplus U$ . We just showed that  $(T - \lambda \text{Id}_X)(X) \subseteq U \subseteq X$ . Since  $\dim U = \dim X - 1$  we have that the linear map  $T|_X - \lambda \text{Id}_X$  is not surjective, since  $X$  is finite-dimensional  $T|_X - \lambda \text{Id}_X$  is also not injective. Thus, there exist  $v \in X \subseteq V$  such that  $T(v) - \lambda v = 0$ , as we needed to prove.

- (iii) Prove that the minimal polynomial of  $T$  is a multiple of the minimal polynomial of  $T/U$ .

**Solution.** Let  $p$  be the minimal polynomial of  $T/U$  on  $V$ . Notice that  $U$  is invariant under  $p(T)$  and that  $p(T)/U = p(T/U)$ . Indeed, it is enough to check that

$$T^n/U(v + U) = T^n(v) + U$$

for each  $n \geq 1$ . Thus,  $p(T/U) = 0$ , since  $p(T/U) = 0$ . So by Lemma 33 we have that  $p$  is a multiple of the minimal polynomial of  $T/U$  as we needed to prove.

- (iv) Prove that  $p_{T/U} p_{T|U}$  is a multiple of  $p_T$ , here  $p_S$  is the minimal polynomial of the operator  $S$ .

**Solution.** Let  $r$  be the minimal polynomial of  $T|_U : U \rightarrow U$ . Let  $q$  be the minimal polynomial of  $T/U$ , then for every  $v \in V$  we have

$$q(T/U)(v + U) = q(T)(v) + U = U. \quad (1)$$

Then we claim that  $r \circ q(T) = 0$ . Indeed, let  $v \in V$  then we have:

$$r(T) \circ q(T)(v) = r(T)(v) = 0$$

since by (1)  $q(T)(v) \in U$  and  $r(T) = 0$  on  $U$ .